CORRES CONTROL		00000	1490	σ
4/55 RF 92	Department of Energy		SKY FLATS	ယ
	ROCKY FLATS FICE		25.	00 [11
Ε	GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402 0928		-=	70
ACTION	Jul 1 1992		72	7
DIST. ME BENJAMIN, A BERMAN, H S BRADY, J A		92 DC	E 8494	
BRANCH D.B. CARNIVAL, G.J. COPP, R.D. CORDOVA, R.C. DAVIS, J.G. EVERED, J.E. FERRERA, D.W. GOODWIN, R.	Mr Martin Hestmark US Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII ATTN Rocky Flats Project Manager 8HWM RI 999 18th Street, Suite 500 8WM C Denver Colorado 80202 2405	AUG	7 1992	
HANNI, B.J. HEALY, T.J.	Dear Mr Hestmark			_
HILBIG, J G IDEKER, E H, KERSY, W A KRIEG, D KUESTER, A W LLE, E M MARX, G E.	This letter is in response to an EPA request to revise the final Bac Characterization Plan for the Rocky Flats Plant received by DOF The Background Characterization Report, which is currently bein plan Therefore, DOE will revise the plan and incorporate those of	E on June 30 1 g written is ba	.992 ased on thi	s
MORGAN, R V PIZZUTO, V.M. POTTER, G.L. SANDLIN, N B	report. Both the revised plan and the report will be delivered to E September 30 1992		o curront	
SATTERWHITE, D.G SCHUBERT, A.I SHEPLER, R. L SULLIVAN, M.T SWANSON, E.R TA AN. K.G W. 20N. R.B.	A draft Background Characterization Report was scheduled to be July 31 1992 to allow concurrent review with the Remedial Inve Unit 1 (OU1) This draft review will be unnecessary because the report has been extended to October 28 1992 Only the final report	stigation Reposition submittal date	ort for Ope for the Ot	
ZANE JO. Lindberg K X X Lewn M X X	In order to maximize the amount of data available for use in the barproposes upon the approval of EPA to use unvalidated as well as analysis of background data. All data will eventually be validated VI B 5 b) Quality assurance/quality control documentation and validation will not be affected by this report. The intention is to uvalidation as a means to use a larger amount of data in the report, the background statistics created by use of this data is estimated to uncertainties caused by errors inherent in field acquisition and lab	s validated data as required by conditions for se the data pro The resulting be insignifica	a for statisty the IAG (100% or to 100% uncertainty of compare	(Part) y in
	Attached is a table of percentages of validated data compared to all minimum estimates as of July 1 1992. A large number of sample the generation of this table, and the majority of samples will have September submittal date.	s have been va	alıdated sır	e ice
CORRES CONTROL X X TRAFFIC	An expeditious response would be appreciated as work is current if you have any questions or comments please contact Jen Pepe of			oj ect .
	Sincerely			
R viewed for Addressee Cor es Cont ol RFP 9-6-93	Myst VZ.	MONUNT		
DATE BY	James K Hartman Assistant Manager for Environmental M	A anagement		
	ADMIN RECORD			

RF 46522 (Rev 6/92)

A-01101-0007EJ

M Hestmark 92 DOE 8494

JUL 3 1 100

Enclosure

cc w/Enclosure
M Levin EG&G
R Lindberg EG&G
G Baughman CDH
B Lavelle EPA

77.	/0	~ 	.2% 89 22 /
47 2	43	35 7	54 22%
38 09%	39 91 /	24 97%	36 42 /
29 51 /	55 88 /	29 11 /	37 22 /
METALS	ORGANIC COMPOUNDS	RADIONUCIDES	WATER QUALITY
	29 51 / 38 09% 47 27 /	29 51 / 38 09% 47 27 / 55 88 / 39 91 / 43 40 /	29 51 / 38 09% 47 27 / 55 88 / 39 91 / 43 40 / 29 11 / 24 97% 35 76%